clearly "all money received from the sale of the stamps shall be administered by the Game and Parks Commission for the acquisition, leasing, taking of easements, development, management and enhancement of wildlife lands and habitat areas." I think that is just as close and as clear and as finite as we can make it. So the portion of Senator Lewis' amendment that would make sure the money is used for this purpose is superfluous because the bill, itself, in very clear and uncertain terms states that. Number two, at this point, I think the sportsmen have said this is something we want to do. We want to do it. And other groups have come in and said, yes, we think it is a good idea and we would like to join in and I think they should and I think a proper vehicle in the future should be made available for these other groups to come in and join in but the sportsmen, in this particular case, said we want to contribute this money. We want to pay the \$7.50. want to help the farmer take the load, and so at this time, I think even though Senator Lewis' idea is excellent, it probably should be in the framework of another bill rather than this particular bill which is designed around user fees, certainly not a new precedent. We have had user fees supporting game since the history of the state and continue to maintain this principle and Senator Lewis' idea, as much merit as it has, would be sort of putting in a whole new context that we don't have right now. All we are doing here is increasing the fees to the user and I think it is a good idea and I would, at this time, hope that we would vote down Senator Lewis' amendment and look at his idea next year under a new bill.

PRESIDENT: Senator Frank Lewis, would you close debate on this matter, please, on your amendment?

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Mr. Chairman, I would just start by asking Senator Dworak one question. You do not support putting General Fund money in as matching money for the purpose of habitat restoration?

SENATOR DWORAK: Absolutely, Senator Lewis, but I think this bill was carefully drawn up under existing precedence and existing procedures that we have adhered to in the State of Nebraska and I would hate to deviate from that under this bill. I think we need something. We need to get it started and to introduce a whole new concept and it is a very good concept, Senator Lewis, and I commend you on it, I compliment you on it, I just think it is only fair that it should be under a different piece of legislation so that we can judge this concept separately.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Am I correct in assuming that the amount of money that we are going to collect under the user fees will restore exactly 33 acres a county?

SENATOR DWORAK: That is hard to say, Senator Lewis. Some land is going to be acquired at different prices.